

CALCULATIONS OF THE PRESSURE DEPENDENCE OF THE SUPERCONDUCTING TRANSITION TEMPERATURE OF VANADIUM

D.A. Papaconstantopoulos and B.M. Klein
Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, DC 20375

An evaluation of the pressure derivative of the superconducting transition temperature of vanadium is presented. These calculations were performed by using detailed band structure results and the rigid-muffin-tin approximation. Good agreement with experiment is obtained.

During the last few years considerable progress has been made in determining superconducting transition temperatures, T_c , from first-principles calculations. Specifically, electronic band structure results have been used together with the rigid-muffin-tin-approximation-theory of Gaspari and Gyorffy[1] to evaluate the electronic part, η , of the electron-phonon coupling constant λ . Using these results together with experimental information on the phonon spectra, λ and T_c have been calculated, the latter from a McMillan-like equation.[2] This approach was used with considerable success to evaluate λ and T_c for most of the elements in the periodic table with $Z < 50$, [3,4] and for compounds such as carbides, [5] Al₅s, [6] and palladium-hydrogen systems. [7] However, the determination of the pressure dependence of T_c has received much less attention. Early attempts by Hopfield, [8] Garland and Bennemann, [9] and by Evans et al, [10] were primarily qualitative in nature, due to the fact that they did not utilize the detailed band structure information needed in such calculations. More recently, Pickett et al [11] have presented a calculation of T_c for La for three different lattice spacings ignoring any phonon variation.

Here we present calculations of $\partial T_c / \partial p$ where the only experimental information used are phononic properties, i.e. phonon moments and their volume dependences, the bulk modulus, and the normal lattice constant values of λ and T_c . These calculations were based on two sets (I and II) of non-relativistic, self-consistent augmented-plane-wave (APW) calculations at normal and reduced lattice spacings. The first set (I) utilized the Hedin-Lundqvist potentials of Moruzzi et al [12,3] while the second set (II) used self-consistent potentials [13] generated with the χ_α exchange-correlation approximation.

In both sets of calculations, APW eigenvalues and wave functions were determined on a mesh of 285 k-points in the 1/48th of the bcc Brillouin zone, and tetrahedral integration was used to obtain the total density of states (DOS), N , as well as the angular momentum decomposed DOS, N_λ ,

within the muffin tin spheres, as needed in the Gaspari-Gyorffy theory. [1] Other quantities needed to evaluate η such as the scattering phase shifts, free-scatterer DOS, etc., were determined from the self-consistent potentials. The results, including the values of $\partial \eta / \partial \ln V$ are given in Table I. We note that the values of η and its volume derivatives differ by as much as 10% for the two sets of calculations, pointing out the sensitivity of the results to the exchange-correlation potential and to the fact that sets I and II involve 3% and 1% compressions, respectively. It can also be seen from Table I that $N(E_F)$ decreases under pressure while η increases. This increase in η is obviously due to an increase of the electron-phonon matrix element $\langle I^2 \rangle$.

To calculate $\partial T_c / \partial p$ we differentiate the McMillan equation [2,8] to obtain,

$$\frac{\partial T_c}{\partial p} = \frac{T_c}{B} \left\{ \gamma_G - \frac{1.04\lambda(1+0.38\mu^*)}{\chi^2} \left[2\gamma_G + \frac{\partial \ln \eta}{\partial \ln V} \right] + \frac{\partial \mu^*}{\partial \ln V} \frac{1.04(1+0.62\lambda)(1+\lambda)}{\chi^2} \right\} \quad (1)$$

with, $\chi = \lambda - \mu^*(1+0.62\lambda)$.

In Eq. (1) we have used the value 142.9 GPa for the bulk modulus, B , and the value of the Gruneisen parameter, γ_G , has been estimated from thermodynamic data. [14] There is, unfortunately, a good deal of uncertainty in the value of γ_G to be used in Eq. (1), so that we report results in Table I using three different values: $\gamma_G = 1.5 \pm 0.1$ which should bracket the spectrum of "reasonable" choices. For T_c we have used the measured value of 5.3°K and set $\lambda = 0.67$ found by inverting the Allen-Dynes equation [2] using $\mu^* = 0.13$. We have not used the calculated value of λ since it is well known that η is seriously overestimated by the rigid-muffin-tin approximation. We are confident, however, that our calculated values of the pressure derivative $\frac{\partial \ln \eta}{\partial \ln V}$ given in Table I are reliable. We have not included the

volume dependence of any anomalous spin fluctuation[15] terms explicitly, but it could be argued that we have approximately accounted for this effect by using the empirical value of λ together with the inclusion of the term $\frac{\partial \mu^*}{\partial \ln V}$ discussed below.

Some special mention of our inclusion of a $\frac{\partial \mu^*}{\partial \ln V}$ term in Eq. (1) should be made. In previous studies of $\frac{\partial T_c}{\partial p}$ it has usually been assumed that this term is negligible, but we have not found this to be the case. The volume variation of μ^* makes a significant contribution and must be handled carefully. To evaluate $\frac{\partial \mu^*}{\partial \ln V}$ we have used a modified Bennemann-Garland formula,[9]

$$\mu^* = \frac{A N(E_F)}{1 + N(E_F)}, \quad (2)$$

with A determined by using $\mu^* = 0.13$ in both calculations I and II at normal lattice constant (with the appropriate $N(E_F)$ values). The smaller values of μ^* under pressure result from the decrease of $N(E_F)$ upon contraction (see Table I). The dependence of μ^* on $N(E_F)$, given in Eq. (2) although not exact, is reasonable and physically appealing.

The results for $\frac{\partial T_c}{\partial p}$ are also given in Table I where we note the fairly good agreement with the measured[14] value of 0.062°K/GPa especially for calculation I. The sign of $\frac{\partial T_c}{\partial p}$ is predicted correctly in all of the six results shown.

We believe that the present results are very encouraging. It appears that reliable theoretical estimates of $\frac{\partial T_c}{\partial p}$ can be made if reasonable values of γ_G can be obtained from experiment. Further results on different materials will be presented in future publications.

It is a pleasure to acknowledge discussions with W.E. Pickett and L.L. Boyer.

REFERENCES

- [1] Gaspari, G.D. and Gyorffy, B.L., Phys. Rev. Lett. 28, (1972) 801.
- [2] McMillan, W.L., Phys. Rev. 167 331 (1968); Allen, P.B. and Dynes, R.C., Phys. Rev. (1975) B12 905.
- [3] Papaconstantopoulos, D.A., Boyer, L.L., Klein, B.M., Williams, A.R., Moruzzi, V.L., and Janak, J.F., Phys. Rev. B15 (1977) 4221.
- [4] Butler, W.H., Phys. Rev. B15 (1977) 5267.
- [5] Klein, B.M., Papaconstantopoulos, D.A., and Boyer, L.L., in Superconductivity in d- and f-Band Metals, ed. by D.H. Douglass,

- Plenum Press, NY, 1976) 339.
- [6] Klein, B.M., Boyer, L.L., and Papaconstantopoulos, D.A., Phys. Rev. Lett. 42, (1979) 530.
- [7] Papaconstantopoulos, D.A., Klein, B.M., Economou, E.N., and Boyer, L.L., Phys. Rev. B17 (1978) 141.
- [8] Hopfield, J.J., Physica 55, (1971) 41.
- [9] Garland, J.W. and Bennemann, K.H., in Superconductivity in d- and f-Band Metals, ed. by D.H. Douglass (AIP Conf. Proc. No. 4, 1972) 255; *ibid* Bennemann, K.H. and Garland, J.W., 103.
- [10] Evans, R., Ratti, V.K., and Gyorffy, B.L., J. Phys. F3, (1973) L199; *ibid* J. Phys. F4, (1974) 371.
- [11] Pickett, W.E., Freeman, A.J., and Koelling, D.D., Phys. Rev. B22, (1980) 2695.
- [12] Moruzzi, V.L., Williams, A.R., and Janak, J.F., Calculated Electronic Properties of Metals, (Pergamon Press, NY, 1978).
- [13] Boyer, L.L., Papaconstantopoulos, D.A., and Klein, B.M., Phys. Rev. B15, (1977) 3685; *ibid* Ferroelectrics 16, (1977) 291.
- [14] Smith, T.F., J. Phys. F2, (1972) 946.
- [15] Rietschel, H. and Winter, H., Phys. Rev. Lett. 43, (1979) 1256.

Table I

Quantities used in the calculation as defined in the text. Note that $\frac{\partial T_c}{\partial p}$ is given for three different choices of the Gruneisen parameter γ_G .

| | Calc. I | | Calc. II | |
|---|---------|--------|----------|--------|
| a(a.u.) | 5.720 | 5.550 | 5.713 | 5.656 |
| $N(E_F)$ (states per Ry-atom-spin) | 12.815 | 11.532 | 13.035 | 12.618 |
| η (eV/Å ²) | 7.165 | 8.890 | 7.177 | 7.722 |
| $\frac{\partial \ln \eta}{\partial \ln V}$ | -2.782 | | -2.558 | |
| μ^* | 0.130 | 0.125 | 0.130 | 0.128 |
| $\frac{\partial \mu^*}{\partial \ln V}$ | 0.055 | | 0.051 | |
| $\frac{\partial T_c}{\partial p} (\gamma_G = 1.4) ^\circ\text{K/GPa}$ | 0.071 | | 0.044 | |
| $\frac{\partial T_c}{\partial p} (\gamma_G = 1.5) ^\circ\text{K/GPa}$ | 0.052 | | 0.024 | |
| $\frac{\partial T_c}{\partial p} (\gamma_G = 1.6) ^\circ\text{K/GPa}$ | 0.033 | | 0.005 | |